

REMARKS

Applicant has received and carefully studied the restriction requirement dated May 23, 2006. Claims 1-62 are pending. Applicant now provisionally elects Group I (claims 1-19 and 36-55) without prejudice, but with traverse, for further prosecution.

Applicant respectfully points out that page 2 of the non-final Office Action does not put claim 35 into Group I or Group II or Group III. However, it is Applicant's understanding that by electing Group I it is assured that claim 35 will be subject to further prosecution as a linking claim that links Group I and Group II.

The Present Amendments


Claim 25 is now amended merely to correct a dependency error. Also, claim 56 is amended to clarify that the computer-readable medium is for use in a "mobile communication terminal." Thus, it is respectfully submitted that Group III (as amended) is not distinct from Group I, because Group III is now not a subcombination having separate utility.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that the amended claims of the present application define patentable subject matter. Early passage of the pending claims to issue is earnestly solicited.

Applicant would appreciate if the Examiner would please contact Applicant's attorney by telephone, if that might help to speedily dispose of any unresolved issues pertaining to the present application.

Respectfully submitted,



Andrew T. Hyman
Attorney for the Applicant
Registration No. 45,858

mbh
July 21, 2006
WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS
& ADOLPHSON LLP
755 Main Street, PO Box 224
Monroe CT 06468
Tel: (203) 261-1234
Fax: (203) 261-5676